PATENT APPLICATION

HEMLELL-BYOKYED COMBYNA HEMLELL-BYOKYED COMBYNA	a
P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400	

10008091 -1 ATTURNEY DOCKET NO.

Shell 3. Simpson of al inventor(s):

Confirmation No.; 5921

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

Application No.: 09/816695 Mar 23, 2001 Filing Date:

Examinor: Garcia, Gabriel Group Art Unit: 2625

JUN 16 2006

True: System And Mathed For Determining The Time Required to Complete A Print Job

Mall Stop After Final Commissioner For Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE AMENDMENT

No addition	nal fee e-Appeal Request for	Review (5 p	ages) a	nd Notic	e of Appr	al (1) SMA	page) LL El	ITITY	Fa01		00
(1) FOR	CLAIMS AS (2) CLAIMS REMAINING	(3) NUMBER	HIGH	(4) EST NU	•	PHE	(5) SFNT TRA		(6) ATE	ICOA	(7) TIONAL EES
TOTAL	AFTER AMENOMENT	MINUS	PIGEAIC	<u> </u>		=	0	х	\$ 50	\$	
INDEP.		MINUS				•	0	×	\$200 _	-	0
	FIRST PRESENTATI	ON OF A M	ULTIPLE	DEPE	IDENT C	LAIM		+	\$360	\$	0
EXTENSION FEE			Month		8rdMonth \$1020			4th M \$159		\$	0
		J ——					,	OTHE	R FEFS	\$	500

Charge \$ 500 to Deposit Aconum 08-2025. At any time during the pendancy of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08 2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally charge any fees to required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08 2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.16 through 1.21 inclusive, and any other serdions in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclused.

I heraby certify that this paper is being gransmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office faccimile number (571) 273-8300. Fixte of lausimite: June 16, 2006

Typed Name: Signation.

Respectfully culpmitted,

Shell 3. Simpagh

James R. McDaniel

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(5)

Reg No. :

Date:

34,481

June 16, 2006

Telephone: 858 655 4157

KEY 10/03 (TransAmsFeX)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Simpson et et.

Examiner: Garcia, Gabriel

Serial No.: 09/81669

Group Art Unit: 2625

Filed: May 23, 2001

Docket No.: 10008091-1

JUN 16 2006

Title: System And Method For Determining The Time Required To Complete A Print John

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P O. Box 1460 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir/Modam:

Please consider the following remarks during the Pre-Appeal Briot Conference. As these remarks outline a clear legal or factual dencioncy in the rejections, the Applicants submit that the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review is appropriate.

REMARKS

Claim Rejections under 35 H.S.C. § 103

Claims 1 and 4-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lenz et all in view of Streetkerk et al. The Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. As discussed in the proviously filed amendment, dated November 16, 2005, Claim 1 presently recites a method for print job time estimating, wherein said method is comprised of the steps of: selecting a document to be printed by creating a document using a web small called and studing a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of the document by interacting with a network service representing the document to obtain information about the document; selecting a first target printer; viewing a characteristic of the document on \underline{m} o \underline{m} o \underline{m} target printer home page; determining the amount of time required for the first target printer to print the document and, if necessary, selecting another target printer to print the document if the time for the first target printer to print the document is not acceptable; and printing the document. While Lenz et al. disclose an identification card personalization device with a web browser, this reference does not teach, suggest or even appreciate. among other things, selecting a document to be printed by creating a document using a web application and storing a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of the document by interaction with a network service representing the document to obtain information about the document. In fact, Lenz et al. is silent with respect to this claimed method step. The system described in Lanz et al. Is a closed system in the sense that the card generator and card printer are part of the same web site. The creation of the card document and the printing of that document are developed specifically for that purpose. This would be similar to shipping a printer with a web-based word processor, spreadsheet, etc. and only allowing the printer to be used to print documents created with the applications embedded within it. In Lenz et al., it is easier to determine the amount of time required to print struss the printer only has to deal with a fixed set of documents. The Applicants also request that the Examiner specifically point out in the Lenz et al. reference where it even mentions creating a document using a wob application and storing a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of the document by interacting with a network service representing the document to obtain information about the document. The Examiner falsely contonos that the paragraphs referred to this claimed subject matter are paragraphs 24, 27.

and 31. However, upon a thorough review of these paragraphs, these paragraphs do not even remotely refer to such a concept that would lead one skilled in the art to render obvious this claimed subject matter.

As discussed in the previously filed amendments, dated November 16, 2005 and March 15, 2005, conversely, the present invention specifically addresses the process for calculating the time: required to print in an open web-based system where the applications and the printers are surrounded. The web-based system described in the present invention is an improvement over Lenz et al. because it allows the user significantly greater choice. The user is able to create documents using a variety of applications and choose a printer without regard to the application used to create the document. Although the web-based system described in the present invention offers advantages, it introduces new challenges and opportunities—particularly in the area of estimating the time required to print a job. It is important to point out that in the web-based system envisioned in the present invention it becomes reasonable to easily retarget print date to a new printer by simple browsing to that new printer. In fact, the personal imaging repository makes it reasonable to print documents in general on the web (without this technology, printing is cumbersome).

As discussed in the previously filed amendments, dated November 16, 2005 and March 15, 2006, with respect to Streetkerk et al., while this reference discloses a printing system and control method for printing images having a circle segment display element for visualizing print jub processing times and managing print jobs, this reference does not alleviate the myriad problems associated with Lenz et. al. For example, Streetkerk et al does not teach, suggest, or even appreciate the step of actioning a document using a web application and storing a scloring a document to be printed by creating a document using a web application and storing a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of the document by interecting with a network convice representing the document to obtain information about the document.

With respect to dependent claims 4-16, due to the fact that these claims are dependent upon independent claim 1, the Applicants contend that these claims are also allowable over the art of record.

As discussed in the previously filed amendments, dated November 16, 2005 and March 15, 2006, with respect to independent claim 17, as discussed above, Lenz et al. does not teach, suggest or even appreciate, among other things, selecting a document to be printed by creating a document using a web application and storing a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of the document by Interacting with a network service representing the document to obtain information about the document. As discussed above, Lenz et al. is silent with respect to this claimed method step. In fact, Lenz et al. is allont with respect to this claimed method step. The system described in Lenz et al. is a closed system in the cense that the card generator and card printer are part of the same web site. The croation of the card document and the printing of that document are developed specifically for that purpose. This would be similar to shipping a printer with a wob-based word processor, spreadsheet, otc. and only allowing the printer to be used to print documents created with the applications embedded within it. The Applicants also request that the Examiner specifically point out in the Lenz of at, reference where it even mentions <u>crosting a</u> document using a web apolication and storing a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of the document by interacting with a network service representing the document to obtain information about the document. The Examiner falsely contends that the paragraphs referred to this claimed subject matter are paragraphs 24, 27, and 31. However, upon a thorough review of these paragraphs, these paragraphs do not even remotely refer to such a concept that would lead one skilled in the art to render obvious this claimed subject matter.

As discussed above with respect to Strustkerk et al., while this reference discloses a printing system and control method for printing images having a circle segment display element for vicualizing print job processing times and managing print jobs, this reterence does not alleviate the myriad problems associated with Lenz et. al. For example, Streetkerk et al does not teach, suggest, or even appreciate the stop of selecting a document to be printed by creating a document using a web application and atoming a reference to the document in a user's profile and obtaining a target image of

the document by interacting with a network service representing the document to obtain information about the document,

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that pending claims 1 and 4-17 are all in a condition for allowance and requests reconsideration of the application and allowance of all pending claims. Any inquiry regarding this Request should be directed to James R. McDaniel at Telephone No. (858) 655-4157, Fausimile No. (858) 655-5859.

Respectfully submitted,

Simpson of al.

James R. McDaniel Reg. No. 34,481 858.655.4157

Hewlett-Packard Company Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, Colorado 8052/-2400

Date: 6/16/06